	Case 3:06-cv-05443-FDB Document 11	Filed 11/09/06 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
9	ATTA	COMA
10 11	THOMAS C. FURLONG,	
12	Plaintiff,	Case No. C06-5443 FDB
13	V.	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION
14	MARC H. COCHRAN,	
15	Defendant.	
16	This matter comes before the Court on Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for failure to state a	
17	claim, lack of jurisdiction and as barred by the statute of limitations. After reviewing all materials	
18	submitted by the parties and relied upon for authority, the Court is fully informed and hereby grants	
19	the motion.	
20	INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	
21	Plaintiff Thomas Furlong has filed a complaint in this Court requesting records and	
22	information from the Defendant that were allegedly generated in providing estate planning services to	
23	Plaintiff's father. Plaintiff has designated this an original action pursuant to the Freedom of	
24	Information Act. Defendant moves for dismissal on the basis of lack of jurisdiction as the Freedom	
25	of Information Act is inapplicable.	
26	ORDER - 1	

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT The Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. § 552, applies only to federal agencies and not private corporations or individuals. See, Armstrong v. Executive Office of the President, 90 F.3d 553 (DC Cir. 1996). It is undisputed that Defendant Cochran and his law firm are not federal government agencies. Given the FOIA is not applicable, there is no federal question for purposes of jurisdiction. Plaintiff has alleged no other facts sufficient to give this Court jurisdiction under any provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1331. Accordingly, this action is subject to dismissal. **CONCLUSION** This Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction and the action is subject to dismissal. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED: Defendant's Motion to Dismiss [Dkt. #8] is GRANTED DATED this 9th day of November, 2006. FRANKLIN D. BURGESS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER - 2